

JS-6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 MEIMEI ZHENG,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 UR MENDOZA JADDOU, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

Case No. CV 23-8131 PVC

**ORDER RE: DISMISSAL WITHOUT
PREJUDICE**

17
18 On January 3, 2024, the Court granted the parties' joint stipulation to stay the case
19 pending adjudication of Plaintiff's asylum application. (Dkt. Nos. 8, 10).
20

21 In the Court's experience with numerous similar requests in recent mandamus
22 actions challenging similar delays, the setting of an agreed interview date generally leads
23 to the resolution of the parties' dispute without the need for further intervention by the
24 Court. However, staying cases requires the continued commitment of judicial resources to
25 monitoring the cases and ensuring compliance with requirements to file status reports. It
26 also increases the burden on the parties to file status reports or seek dismissal of the stayed
27 case upon resolution of the matter.
28

1 The Court finds that the more efficient approach is to dismiss this action without
2 prejudice to either party moving to reopen *nunc pro tunc* if further Court intervention
3 becomes necessary. The Court perceives no practical difference between this approach
4 and the relief stipulated by the parties, apart from eliminating the need for future
5 monitoring or action if the parties honor their agreement, as the Court expects them to.
6

7 Based on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED THAT this action is dismissed without
8 prejudice to any party seeking to vacate this Order and reopen the action *nunc pro tunc* if
9 Plaintiff is unable to receive a determination in the time contemplated by the parties.
10

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.
12
13
14

15 DATED: March 12, 2025
16



17 PEDRO V. CASTILLO
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28